

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,182	08/01/2001	John M. Young	GECAN 3216	2048	
23465	7590 10/09/2002				
JOHN S. BE		EXAMINER			
	RONG TEASDALE, LLP POLITAN SQUARE	LAM, THANH			
ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/682,182

Applicant(s)

Young et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner
Thanh Lam

Art Unit 2834



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·		
2a) 🗌	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4:	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-26</u>	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🗆 All b) 🗀 Some* c) 🗀 None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	mmon. IDT	O-413) Paper No(s).		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			nt Application (PTO-152)		
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					
		_				

Application/Control Number: 09682182 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of facilitating damping of a dynamoelectric machine, classified in class 29, subclass 596.
 - II. Claims 12-26, drawn to a damping apparatus of electric machine, classified in class 310, subclass 51.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method claims of group I do not require the apparatus claims of group II to prosecute the invention because they are distinct from one to another.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

·

Application/Control Number: 09682182 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

SPECIES FIGURES

A 2-6

B 7-8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to <u>elect a single disclosed species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 12 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09682182

Art Unit: 2834

5. A telephone call was made to Patrick W. Rasche on 10/07/2002 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

Page 4

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626.

Thanh lam

October 7, 2002

Champ lam